

# **Minutes of Meeting**

# The 5th CTI-CFF Seascape Working Group Meeting

Banten - Indonesia, 18-19 October 2018

# Time: 09.30-18.00 Place: Hotel Bandara International Attendees:

- 1) NCC/SWG Members of Indonesia
- 2) NCC/SWG Members of Philippines
- 3) NCC/SWG Members of Timor Leste
- 4) NCC/SWG Members of Solomon Islands
- 5) NCC/SWG Members of Malaysia
- 6) Regional Secretariat of CTI-CFF
- 7) Development Partners (CI, WWF, TNC, RARE, WCS, GIZ)

# 1. Opening Remarks – Hendra, IED of CTI-CFF Regional Secretariat

- Objectives of SWG Meeting
  - To follow up and evaluate nomination of LS & BSSE as priority seascapes of CTI-CFF
  - To finalize Rules of Procedure of the CTI-CFF Seascapes Working Group, including proposed coordination structure for each of the priority seascape under Seascapes WG
  - 3) To introduce the management of transboundary priority seascape with taking the experiences from Sulu-Sulawesi Seascape as an example, including the generated benefits and lessons learned
- Development partners have supported the management and conservation of natural resources in CT6 countries
- The progress for SSME was the 1<sup>st</sup> Seascapes to develop in CTI with Partners USAID Oceans and GIZ
- Nominating priority seascape can bring investment to improvement for economic societal being, to open opportunity for research
- RPOA will come to an end in 2020, the review has been supported by ADB and Australia Government
- RPOA 2.0 will be focused on economy and social well-being in line with food security
- Plenary session is also planned during the Pre-SOMM in December in Phillipines where findings of RPOA Review will be prepared for the formulation of RPOA 2.0

# 2. Remarks and Review & Approval of Provisional Agenda – Nilda Baling, Co-Chair of SWG

- Congratulates IED who has accepted by CSO effective in October 2018
- Leading the introduction of delegation and partners
- Present the two days schedule of SWG Meeting
- All countries: No additional program proposed for provisional agenda so it's a go to for the existing ones



#### 3. Introduction of Participants

- Indonesia: Mr. Okto Irianto, Ms. Nilfa Rasyid
- Malaysia: Ms. Norasma Dacho
- Philippines: Ms. Nilda Baling
- Solomon Islands: Ms. Assaneth Kenihuraia Buarafi, Ms. Priscilla Pitakaka
- Timor Leste: Mr. Celestino da Cunha Barreto, Mr. Antonio de Jejus
- Malaysia: Ms. Norasma
- CTI-CFF Regional Secretariat: Mr. Hendra Yusran Siri, Mr. Ayodya Satryo
- CI: Ms. Erfa Canisthya
- TNC: Ms. Yadranka Farita
- WWF: Mr. Veda Santadji
- WCS: Ms. Ita Sualia
- RARE: Mr. Arwandrija Rukma
- GIZ: Ms. Franca Sprong, Ms. Marion Antonette Daclan

#### **SESSION 1**

# 4. Introduction to the Management of Transboundary Priority Seascapes: Benefits and Lessons Learned from Sulu Sulawesi Seascape

#### Agus Sapari, MMAF Indonesia

- Current status of MPA in Indonesia: 10% below Aichi Target
- Effectively managed MPA is under 1% from total of 19 million Ha
- The smaller size MPA under 50.000 Ha takes up the majority more than 60%
- In year 2030, Indonesia need to achieve 11% more MPA areas of the target
- Main activities in Berau MPA supported by GIZ
- Surveillance training also includes aid of vessels
- The status management effectiveness improved to blue level (2013-2018)
- Better identified whale shark population and sea turtle
- Issues and challenges
  - i. Project timeframe, 2 years project period
  - ii. Policy changes  $\rightarrow$  regarding the power transfer from regent to province
  - iii. SSME to CTI CFF seascape
  - iv. The coverage of working area is limited → GIZ worked only in FMA 716 meanwhile the management of fisheries need more
  - v. No person in charge in EAFM focal point to work with SSS
- After 2 years implementation, future work from donors are welcome
- Contact persons:
  - i. Amehr Hakim, Deputy Director for MPA management
  - ii. Firdaus Agung, Deputy Director for
  - iii. Beswari, Deputy Director for

#### Dr. Norasma Dacho, Department of Fisheries, Sabah, Malaysia

- Malaysia proposed SSME as priority Seascape 2004
- The different between CTI and SSME: Chairman rotate of Sub Committee, 3 TWG
- Transboundary project:
  - i. SSME Sulu Sulawesi Celebes Sea Sustainable Fisheries UNDP GEF Project (2011-2013)



- ii. CTI-RETA by ADB (2012-2017)
- iii. Sulu Sulawesi Project by USAID Oceans and GIZ (2016-2018) implemented by Sabah Parks
- Malaysia portion: 5% from 600.000 km2 in SSME; remain priority seascape under CTI CFF
- Components GEF Project achievements
  - i. Transboundary diagnostic analysis
  - ii. Strategic action program signed by 3 countries on 2019
  - iii. Demonstration sites for EAFM
- SSS structure project started with GIZ MoU with RS
- Tangible milestones:
  - i. SSME adopted by Malaysia government as priority seascape
  - ii. EAFM capacity development, Seascape Regional Model and Development, Replication site
- The crucial part of the project is understanding of legal basis for all program.

# Nilda Baling, Integrated Coastal and Marine Partnership Section of BMB Philippines

- Priorities
  - Rise Marine Resource Reserve (North Sea): MPAs, corridor for connectivity management
  - West Philippines Sea #2 priority
  - o South Sea
- Republic Act 11038: CTI model Seascape particularly defined; NIPAS Coverage 6.2 million Ha
- Lesson learned:
  - $\circ\,$  Precursor to national priority in legislation and policies for biodiversity conservation
  - Engenders partnership and involvement of all sector
  - Contribution to national, regional, and global goals for sustanaible development
- Consideration for migratory species include in next RPOA

#### Franca Sprong, GIZ

- Transboundary work is important  $\rightarrow$  species migrate across the countries and trade
- Better understanding of sea turtle, can protect properly under transboundary protection
- Benefit of seascape:
  - Data sharing, a platform to research result and data
  - Continue communication, a platform for cooperation and coordination
  - Provides options for joint enforcement action
  - Promotes transparency between community
- Ideas for scaling up
  - Strengthen regional policy



#### Discussion

Question	Answer	Feedback
QuestionTimor Leste"What is the benefit for the community?"Solomon"Seascape will rises expectation from community"	<ul> <li>Philippines</li> <li>They will be motivated once they know that they contribute to the world in a bigger scope</li> <li>law states representation of the community must be exercised; 75% income should back to the area while 25% goes to funding</li> <li>Community involvement in management Board Development and Management Plan</li> <li>Malaysia Conducted workshops and training for local</li> </ul>	Feedback Solomon We go in the same direction with you, because our interests are the capacity development activities of community especially development and management plan where community can be involved. We currently developing SOP CPR development management plan
Timor Leste "Will the fish stock status increase after seascape project implementation?"	communities. Since the beginning told them there were no financial support, but capacity building Indonesia Fisheries management will get better and more effective, hence will impact on the fish stock recovery in the area. By regional cooperation, we could better protect the nursery ground and fishing ground	

#### **SESSION 2**

5. Presentation on the Progress Made Toward the Nomination of Lesser Sunda and BSSE as Priority Seascapes

#### Indonesia

- Follow up activities post SOM 13
  - o sending diplomatic notes to Embassy in Jakarta



- Indonesia NCC met at Embassy of Solomon Islands: discussion and inquiry about administrative matters that need to be prepared
- Multilateral Meeting (April)
- Nomination process
  - Revision of Draft Proposal of BSSE data and information in PNG and SI were already added provided by development partners
  - NCC Philippines sent nomination letter to support the nomination of priority seascape
  - NCC Indonesia submitted the formal letters to nominate Lesser Sunda and BSSE as priority seascape to Chair of SWG (13 September 2018)
  - NCC Timor Leste submitted the formal letter to nominate Lesser Sunda and BSSE as priority seascape to Chair of SWG (16 September 2018)
- To date, NCC Solomon Islands and NCC PNG have not sent nomination letter
- Brief presentation priority seascape proposal

# **SESSION 3-5**

#### 6. Discussion on Lesser Sunda and BSSE

Chair of SWG

• Perhaps we should focus in the discussion of LS nomination because PNG is absent

Indonesia Islands

• The attendance of Indonesia and SI should not be taken for granted, the discussion may continue about BSSE nomination

Solomon Islands

• Agreement to nominate priority seascape

Timor Leste

• We already sent nomination letter but in terms of data, we will review again with CI

RS

- We can move forward with this priority seascape nomination
- SWG should report to the SOM to endorse

Chair of SWG

- Lesser Sunda nomination is set and agreed to be propose as CTI-CFF priority seascape in SOM 14
- Follow up discussion about technical matters with Timor Leste can be done hereafter

Indonesia

- There is no correlation between seascapes with sovereignty because it bound with each national policy
- The existing proposal status is 95% done, but any additional data can be consolidated



- Pre SOM D-1 before SOM in Manila at 10<sup>th</sup> December 2018
- So hopefully nomination letter and final proposal from parties submitted at the latest 1<sup>st</sup> December 2018

Solomon Islands

- NCC SI acknowledge the process of priority seascape nomination
- We have not responded to submit nomination letter because we have the question of profiling exercise in the interest of three countries, "when the exercise conducted and done" & "how is it align with nomination? Which one should come first?"
- Issues: talk is still on about BBNG, tuna agreement, continental shelf
- The institutional set up should be discussed, whether RS can be the implementing body so it will not cost SI
- SIG already developed Marine Spatial Planning and National Coastal Policy will be endorsed with Parliament in November

Indonesia

- Seascape will not interrupt the activities undergoes, and will align with current approaches
- It offers better approach to the aspiring donors because seascape is seen as the supporting vehicle for activities

Solomon Islands

- We need to go back and evaluate the process before submitting nomination
- Likely before Pre SOM will submit the letter

Indonesia to Solomon Islands

- We can have deeper exchange of insights about the matter, hopefully through informal meeting as a bilateral meeting to know better the Solomon inspiration, it's not political discussion or forum
- Respect the mechanism and willingness to convey the stand in the letter

#### **SESSION 6**

7. Presentation on the results of Convergence Meeting on the idea of sub-working groups of Sulu-Sulawesi, Lesser Sunda, and BSSE under the SWG structure

#### Convergence Meeting Report – Dinah, NCC Indonesia and Marion, NCC Philippines

- SSP in partnership USAID Oceans and CI Philippines organized the meeting
- Revisited what happened during the Sulu Sulawesi program, reviewed and updated the findings
- Reviewed and updated the draft of Sulu Sulawesi sub regional EAFM Framework Plan
- Future topics:
  - o IUU Fishing
  - Cross cutting concern eg marine debris
  - Develop projects to fundraising



• Coordination mechanism within NCC, creating sub WG in each NCC → the next step would be development of TOR for the focal point

#### Chair of SWG

 To manage the seascape effectively, we need to add sub WG in the structure of SWG

#### Indonesia

- By having sub WG, the work of each priority seascape can be done effectively
- The EAFM plan to be documented, then EAFM WG can absorbs new idea from it to recreate RPOA 2.0 → RS will follow up the next step
- Indonesia have some inputs for the Rules and Proposal that will be discussed later

#### Malaysia

- It feels déjà vu to see Indonesian leadership in developing the new structure of SWG, but in the meantime we are richer and more knowledgeable
- Hope with the inputs for the next session we can submitted to SOM.

#### Philippines

- There seem to be no coordination lines between SWG with the other WG at regional level, it can perhaps added on under the TOR
- If the regional level coordination will be at the level

#### **Solomon Islands**

 It is acceptable by SI, we support the idea of creating sub WG especially for the BSSE because it will be very beneficial in organizing processing in the facilitating SWG

#### **Timor Leste**

- Thanks Dinah and Marion to present this as a lesson learned
- No comment and objection regarding this new structure, we can discuss again about the Rules and Procedure

#### Indonesia

• Suggestion to give development partners and collaborators to give comments regarding the decision making of sub WG establishment and their position regarding the work of SWG to this moment

#### GIZ

- Please to support the future work of SWG
- Even though previous Sulu Sulawesi Seascape has come to an end but we will look forward for potential collaborative work in the region
- The project is running out and will happy to integrate to next good development

#### WWF

- Support the sub WG within the country for further work of SWG
- Issues of migratory species needs to be addressed



- Collaborative Action Plan also needs to be developed under RPOA for the guidance of future work
- More improve our cooperation, more tangible deliverables to achieve for SWG
- SSME concern at the first with turtle migratory, if we success to care turtle migratory will be affect other countries to concern

# CI

- It is to our pleasure to see the evolving work of SWG, with the creation of Sub-WG because it will form smooth coordination between sub-WG and donors/partners
- If the plan for GEF work is accepted, we will work closely with NCC Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines
- There are action that awaits, eg EAFM models that need to be developed
- Sustainable seascapes is one of our main pillars of work so we remain committed to supporting the establishment of new seascapes (at both national and transboundary level) such as the LSS and BSSE and strengthening existing ones such as the Sulu-Sulawesi and Bird's Head
- We are consistently looking into new funding opportunities and sources and look forward working with the CT6 and partners in this process.

# RARE

- Our gratitude for the chair to give opportunity to us to comment on this matter despite we are still not the official development partner of CTI
- RARE Indonesia and RARE Philippines are currently developing program with CI, even though we can say much about it for now, we hope that if it runs smoothly we can look forward to work with some of CT6 countries

# TNC

- TNC Indonesia and global has been supporting the development work in Indonesia, SI, TL
- We fully support the establishment of Sub-WG

# RS

 It is a good example to develop the work of WG, by restructuring NCC coordination under sub WG

# **SESSION 7**

8. Presentation & discussion on the Draft Rules of Procedure of the CTI-CFF Seascapes Working Group

# Presentation – Dinah, NCC Indonesia ⊤

- The initial draft was discussed before in Honiara, 2017 and some of you may know about this
- Recent issues of The Rules and Procedure
  - o It will covers general procedure of SWG, not only about Meetings



 It should incorporate the inputs of sub WG creation like proposed in the Convergence Meeting

### Chair of SWG

• Inquire the beginning of discussion, should we continue from Rule 3 – the last point of discussion in Honiara

#### Indonesia, Philippines, Malaysia, Timor Leste, Solomon Islands

• We can discuss start from the title and beginning

#### Discussion

As agreed (Table)

#### **SESSION 8**

#### 9. Finalize the Rules of Procedure of the CTI-CFF Seascapes Working Group

#### Indonesia

• Sub WG, each country will assign one focal point, then they will decide among themselves to act as the coordinator

#### RS

- During the next SOM, we will offer back to back meeting with WG meeting
- We will try to have dedicated partners to each WG, cross-cutting WG, and also increase budget for each WG
- RS will put more resources on RPOA review and formulating RPOA 2.0
- For meeting twice a year is possible, one is in Pre SOM where each WG can discuss specific issues
- Next year, there is probability that each WG will have same amount of budget
- After formulation RPOA 2.0 we will know budget forecast for 2019-2020

#### Philippines

- By second week of November, the mechanism document will be ready
- It is on our deliverable, with the support from CI and GIZ, to finish the document
- Coordination mechanism document is just a narrative of the chart that has been shown beforehand

#### Co-chair

• There will a document to be provided describing as the rationale on the creation of sub working groups and will be distributed in November

#### **Chairman Summary**

As agreed upon



# INPUTS FROM CT COUNTRIES ON THE DRAFT RULES OF PROCEDURES FOR MEETINGS OF THE CTI-CFF SEASCAPES WORKING GROUP

No.	The Draft Rules of Procedures	Comments / Proposed Revision
1	Title RULES OF PROCEDURE FOR MEETINGS OF THE CTI-CFF SEASCAPES WORKING GROUP	All countries agree to revise the title becomes "Rules of Procedure of the CTI-CFF Seascapes Working Group"
2	<b>PURPOSE</b> These rules of procedure shall apply to Meetings held by the Seascapes Working Group of the Coral Triangle Initiative on Coral Reefs, Fisheries and Food Security.	an Canal Dapfa, Fishenian and Faced Committee
3	DEFINITIONS For the purposes of these rules: a) "Chair"meanstheChairpersonoftheSeascapesWorkingGroup;	a. Point a: all countries agreed
	<ul> <li>b) "Consensus" means that every CTI-CFF Party shall be asked for their opinion and given the fair chance to make a formal objection at the time the decision is taken.</li> <li>c) "Co-Chair" means the Co-Chairperson of the Seascapes Working</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>b. Point b: all countries agreed</li> <li>c. Point c: all countries agreed</li> <li>d. Point d: pending issue</li> <li>e. Point e: <ul> <li>Indonesia: Propose to delete point e regarding the Goal 1</li> <li>Malaysia: same with Indonesia</li> <li>Philippines: same with Indonesia; and should correspond</li> </ul> </li> </ul>



No.	The Draft Rules of Procedures	Comments / Proposed Revision
	Group; d) "CTI and CTI-CFF" means the Coral Triangle Initiative on Coral Reefs, Fisheries and Food Security;	with succeeding rules     SI: same with Indonesia     TL: same with Indonesia f. Point f:     SI: agree
	e) "Goal 1 of the CTI-CFF" means Goal 1 of the Coral Triangle Initiative on Coral Reefs, Fisheries and Food Security Regional Plan of Action – 'Priority Seascapes Designated and Effectively Managed'	<ul> <li>TL: agree</li> <li>Phil: "Meeting" means any formal discussion of the Seascapes Working Group and its sub-working groups</li> </ul>
	f) "Meeting" means any meeting of the Seascapes Working Group	Agreed revision: "Meeting" means any formal discussion of the Seascapes Working Group and its sub-working groups
	<ul> <li>g) "Member" means a representative from a signatory country of the Coral Triangle Initiative on Coral Reefs, Fisheries and FoodSecurity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>g. Point g</li> <li>Ind: "Member" means member of the Seascapes Working Group</li> </ul>
	h) "Observer" means any participant as defined in Rule 5	<ul> <li>TL: agree with Ind</li> <li>Phil: agree with Ind</li> <li>SI: agree with Ind</li> </ul>
	i) "Parties" means the countries of the Coral Triangle Initiative on Coral Reefs, Fisheries and Food Security;	<ul> <li>Mal: agree with Ind</li> <li>Agreed revision: "Member" means member of the Seascapes Working Group</li> </ul>
	j) "Partners" means participant as defined in Rule 4	h. Point h-j:
	<ul> <li>k) "RS" means the Regional Secretariat of the Coral Triangle Initiative on Coral Reefs, Fisheries and Food Security</li> </ul>	Refer to the agreement on the establishment of
		Add definition of sub-working groups:



No.	The Draft Rules of Procedures	Comments / Proposed Revision
	I) "SWG" means Seascapes WorkingGroup	"Sub-working groups refers to the sub-working groups of Seascapes Working Group"
4	<ul> <li>RULE 1 Functions and Powers <ol> <li>The functions and powers of the SWG shall include:</li> <li>Maintain the vision of Goal 1 of the CTI-CFF and promote regional priorities in each country, regionally and at international forums,</li> <li>At its discretion, consider, endorse or not endorse proposals, recommendations, plans, programs, projects and activities of the SWG;</li> <li>Approving rules, regulations, procedures, and meeting scheduling for the effective functioning of the SWG;</li> <li>Provide advice and recommendation to RS, Council of Ministers and Council of Senior Officials on any matters related to Goal 1. <li>Monitor and evaluate the progress towards achieving Goal 1 through consideration and adoption of the annual report of the Regional Secretariat.</li> </li></ol></li></ul> <li>The functions and powers of the SWG may include the provision of recommendations to the RS and NCCs on implementation of the recommendations.</li>	<ul> <li>a. Maintain the vision of relevant Goals of Regional Plan of Action of the CTI-CFF, including but not limited to the establishment and management of priority seascapes and the promotion of regional priorities in each country and at regional and international forums;</li> <li>b. Review and endorse proposals, recommendations, plans, programs, projects and activities of the SWG, following the set of criteria and guidelines under the CTI-CFF Seascapes General Model and Regional Framework for Priority Seascapes;</li> <li>c. Approve rules, regulations, procedures, and meeting scheduling for the effective functioning of the SWG;</li> <li>d. Provide advice and recommendation to RS, Council of Ministers (COM)and Committee of Senior Officials (CSO) on any matters related to relevant Goals of Regional Plan of Action of the CTI-CFF, including but not limited to the establishment and management of priority seascapes.</li> <li>e. Monitor and evaluate the progress towards achieving relevant Goals of Regional Plan of Action of the CTI-CFF, including but not limited to the establishment and management of priority seascapes through consideration and adoption of the annual report of the RS.</li> </ul>
	recommendations to the RS and NCCs on implementation of	2. The functions and powers of the SWG may include the provision of



No.	The Draft Rules of Procedures	Comments / Proposed Revision
	decisions made by the Council of Ministers or the Council of Senior	recommendations to the RS and NCCs on implementation of decisions
	OfficialsthroughtheSWGorotherbodiesestablished.	$made by the {\tt COM} or \ the {\tt CSO} \ through \ the \ {\tt SWG} \ or \ other \ bodies \ established.$
	3. The SWG may create bodies as necessary to achieve specific tasks	
	mandated by CSO and/or COM. Such bodies may include	${\sf 3}.$ The SWG may create bodies as necessary to achieve specific tasks
	committees, breakout groups, teams and sub-working groups, which	mandated by the COM and/or the CSO. Such bodies may include committees,
	may meet to provide recommendation to the SWG. The SWG as	breakout groups, teams and sub-working groups, which may meet to
	appropriate shall specify membership of bodies.	$provide recommendation to the {\sf SWG}. The {\sf SWG} as appropriate shall specify$
		membership of bodies.
5	RULE 2	RULE 2
	Membership and Composition	Membership and Composition
		Of Seascapes Working Group
	1. The members of SWG shall consist of representatives of each of the CTI-CFF	The members of the SWG shall include a Chair, a Co-Chair, and seascape focal
	Parties.	point from each Party.
	2. Each Party shall be entitled to nominate one representatives to the SWG.	
	3. The composition of the SWG shall include a Chair, a Co-Chair, and one	
	representative from each Party, seascape focal point. Alternate	
	representation may be nominated by a Party in the absence of the	
	seascapes focal point.	
		Adding new rule:
		RULE 3



No.	The Draft Rules of Procedures	Comments / Proposed Revision
		Sub-Working Groups
		1. The SWG consists of sub-working groups, which may include:
		a. Sulu-Sulawesi sub-working group, comprises of Indonesia, Malaysia,
		and Philipines
		<ul> <li>b. Lesser Sunda sub-working group, comprises of Indonesia and Timor Leste.</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>c. Bismarck Solomon Seas Ecoregion sub-working group, comprises of Indonesia, Papua New Guinea, and Solomon Islands</li> </ul>
		d. Sub-working groups for potential and future priority seascapes
		2. Each party of the sub-working groups shall appoint a focal point
		3. Each of the sub-working groups shall appoint a coordinator from the
		related parties. The next coordinator to lead the sub-working groups
		<ul><li>shall follow the alphabetical order based on the name of the CTI- CFFParty.</li><li>4. The coordinator of each sub-working groups shall report to the Chair.</li></ul>
		4. The coordinator of each sub working groups shall report to the chair.
		*Note: there will be a coordination mechanism document to be provided
		describing the rationale on the creation of sub-working groups and will be
		distributed on Nov.
6	RULE 4	RULE 4
	Roles of Chair and Co-Chair of SWG	Roles of Chair and Co-Chair of SWG
	1. The Chair of the SWG shall rotate, in alphabetical order, based on the	1. The Chair of the SWG shall rotate, in alphabetical order, based on the
	name of the CTI-CFF Party, every two years. The designated Party	$name  of the  {\tt CTI-CFFParty, every two years. The designated {\tt Party shall}$
	shall confirm its nominated Chair representative and their	confirmits nominated Chair representative and their alternate to the
	alternate to the RS within 30 days of the appointment.	RS within 30 days of the appointment.



No.	The Draft Rules of Procedures	Comments / Proposed Revision
NO.		Comments / Proposed Revision
	2. The Co-Chair of the SWG shall rotate, in alphabetical order, based on the name of the CTI-CFF Party, every two years. The designated Party shall confirmits nominated Co-Chair representative and their alternate to the RS within 30 days of the appointment.	2. The Co-Chair of the SWG shall rotate, in alphabetical order, based on the name of the CTI-CFF Party, every two years. The designated Party shall confirmits nominated Co-Chair representative and their alternate to the RS within 30 days of the appointment.
	<ol> <li>The powers and duties of the Chair of the SWG shall be:         <ul> <li>To declare the opening and closing of each meeting;</li> <li>To preside at meetings;</li> <li>To rule on points of order, subject to the right of any representative to request that any ruling of the Chair shall be submitted to the meeting for decision by vote;</li> <li>To call for and announce the results of votes, orto determine if there is consensus;</li> <li>To determine after consultation with the SWG members, the draft provisional agenda and the provisional agenda for each annual and special meeting;</li> <li>To sign a report of the proceedings of each meeting; and</li> <li>Act on behalf of the SWG in any other matter which is the responsibility of the SWG.</li> <li>Decide on matters of substance and voting methods at each SWG i.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Indonesia:</li> <li>3. The powers and duties of the Chair of the SWG shall be: <ul> <li>a. To convene and lead meetings of the SWG;</li> <li>b. To coordinate and assist identification, compilation, and consultation of relevant issues in SWG;</li> <li>c. To communicate with focal points of each party, experts, partners, and other thematic working groups;</li> <li>d. To request administrative assistance from RS pertaining to the conduct of the SWG meetings and other CTI-CFF events, including preparation and distribution of technical and communication materials to parties and partners;</li> <li>e. To lead the delivery of functions and powers of SWG as regulated in Rule 1.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	4. Whenever the Chair of the SWG is unable to act, the Co-Chair shall exercise the powers and duties prescribed for the Chair.	4. Whenever the Chair of the SWG is unable to act, the Co-Chair shall exercise the powers and duties of the Chair.



No.	The Draft Rules of Procedures	Comments / Proposed Revision
INU.		
	5. If the office of the Chair is vacated, the CTI-CFF Party of the outgoing	5. If the office of the Chair is vacated, the Party of the outgoing Chair shall
	Chair shall nominate a candidate to act in the office of Chair for the	nominate a candidate to assume the balance of the outgoing Chair's
	balance of the outgoing Chair's two-year term.	two-year term.
	6. The Chair, or Co-Chair when acting as Chair, shall not vote and another	6. The Chair, or Co-Chair when acting as Chair, shall not vote and another
	representative of his or her delegation shall exercise this function.	representative of his or her delegation shall exercise this function.
7	RULE 4	RULE 5
	CTI Partners	CTI Partners and Observers
	<ol> <li>A CTI Partner is any State, inter-governmental organization or non-governmental organization who:</li> </ol>	The provision on CTI Partners and Observers shall follow the relevant provisions stipulated under the Agreement on the
	a. Was invited to be a CTI Partner at the initiation of the CTI-CFF; or	Establishment on the Regional Secretariat of Coral Triangle
	b. Meets the criteria and goes through the established process to	Initiative on Coral Reefs, Fisheries, and Food Security (CTI-CFF).
	become a CTI Partner.	
8	RULE 5	Combine this to the above Rule
	Observers	
	1. The following may attend the SWG Meetings as observers:	
	a. States, non-governmental organizations, international	
	organizations, private sector, and economic entities who	
	are CTI Partners as defined in Rule 5.	
	2. Upon the invitation of the Chair of the SWG, the following may	
	participate as observers in the SWG Meeting:	
	a. States other than CTI Partners who are not Parties;	



No.	The Draft Rules of Procedures	Comments / Proposed Revision
	b. States who are applying to become Parties;	
	c. The United Nations or any of its agencies;	
	d. Inter-governmental organizations, including regional	
	organizations; and	
	e. Non-governmental organizations, the private sector, and	
	other economic entities concerned with matters relevant to	
	the activities of the CTI-CFF.	
	3. Observers may participate subject to the provisions of these rules in	
	the deliberations of the SWG but shall not be entitled to	
	make/vote for decisions. Written statements submitted by such	
	observers shall be distributed by the Regional Secretariat, as	
	approved by the Chair, to the representatives at the SWG Meetings as	
	appropriate.	
	4. An organization intending to participate as an observer pursuant to	
	paragraph 2 of this rule shall notify the RS and the Chair in writing at	
	leastsixty(60) days in advance of the session. The Chair through the RS	
	shall notify the SWG representatives of such request at least thirty (30)	
	days prior to the opening of the Meeting at which the request is to be	
	considered. Organizations that have made such notification to the RS	
	and the Chair shall be invited to participate in the session as	
	observers. However, this request can be denied if the majority of	
	SWG parties objects in writing at least twenty days (20) before the	
	opening of the session. Such observer status shall remain in	



No.	The Draft Rules of Procedures	Comments / Proposed Revision
	effect for future sessions unless the SWG decides otherwise.	
	<ol> <li>Observers referred may sit at meetings of the SWG upon the confirmation of the Chair and subject to the approval of the SWG maymakeoralstatementsduringtheSWG meeting.</li> </ol>	
9	RULE 6	RULE 6
	Meetings	Meetings
	<ol> <li>The SWG shall meet annually in the pre-Senior Officials Meeting, or at such other intervalsas maybe resolved by SWG.</li> <li>The Chair of the SWG may convene the SWG in plenary or inter- sessional if such a meeting is required.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>The SWG shall conduct meeting at least once a year.</li> <li>In addition to the meetings stated in the point 1, the SWG shall meet in every pre- Senior Officials Meeting.</li> </ol>
	3. Each Party shall be entitled to nominate one representatives to the SWG and such alternate representatives and advisers as may be required by each Party delegation	<ol> <li>The Chair of the SWG may convene the SWG in plenary or intersessional if such a meeting is required.</li> <li>SWG meetings shall be comprised of SWG members, and may include</li> </ol>
	4. SWG meetings shall be comprised of Parties, Partners and Observers.	Partners, Observers, and Resource Persons on invitation basis.
	5. Each CTI-CFF Party Partner and any Observer shall notify the SWG Chair in advance of any meeting of the name of its representatives. Notification to the Chair copied to the RS, shall be in appropriate letter of confirmation and/or completion of appropriate Registration forms.	5. Each Party and Mied Partners, Observers, and Resource Persons shall notify the SWG Chair at best 2 weeks in advance of any meeting of the name of its representatives. Notification to the Chair copied to the RS, shall be in appropriate letter of confirmation and/or completion of appropriate Registration forms.
		6. The SWG may permit representatives to participate in a particular



No.	The Draft Rules of Procedures	Comments / Proposed Revision
No.	<ul> <li>The Draft Rules of Procedures</li> <li>6. The SWG may, if it so chooses, permit Members to participate in a particular meeting, by telephone, closed-circuit television, or any other means of electronic communication, and a Member who so participates is to be regarded as being present at the meeting.</li> <li>7. SWG sessions may be held in public or closed. The Chair and Co-Chair shall confirm which sessions may be open or closed for Partners and Observers.</li> <li>8. The location of SWG meetings shall be determined through consultations with the Parties and Chair.</li> </ul>	Comments / Proposed Revisionmeeting by any means of electronic communication, and a member whoso participates is to be regarded as being present at the meeting.7. SWG meetings may be held in open or closed sessions.8. The location of SWG meetings shall be determined throughconsultations with the Parties, Chair, and RS.9. The powers and duties of the Chair of the SWG shall be:a. To declare the opening and closing of each meeting;b. To preside at meetings;c. To rule on points of order, subject to the right of any representative to request that any ruling of the Chair shall be submitted to the meeting for decision by vote;d. To call for and announce the results of votes, or to determine if there is consensus;e. To determine after consultation with the SWG members, the draft provisional agenda and the provisional agenda for each annual and special meeting;f. To sign a report of the proceedings of each meeting; and g. Decide on matters of substance and voting methods at each
		meeting,



No.	The Draft Rules of Procedures	Comments / Proposed Revision
		10. The proceedings of each meeting shall be submitted by the Chair
		to the RS for dissemination to the Parties.
10	Rule 7	Rule 7
	Conduct of Meetings	Conduct of Meetings
	1. SWG meeting shall be conducted in accordance with the venue and table layout protocols set by the RS for conducting CTI-CFF Regional meetings.	1. SWG meeting shall be conducted in accordance with the protocols set by the Agreement on the Establishment on the Regional Secretariat of Coral Triangle Initiative on Coral Reefs, Fisheries, and Food Security (CTI-CFF), including determination of quorum, points of orders, language, procedural motions, and
	2. No representative may address the SWG Meeting without having previously obtained the permission of the Chair. The Chair shall call upon speakers in the order in which they signify their desire to speak. The RS representative may be accorded precedence for the purpose of explaining the work of the Secretariat. The Chair may call a speaker to order if the speaker's remarks are not relevant to the subject under discussion.	dispute resolutions. 2. No representative may address the SWG Meeting without having previously obtained the permission of the Chair. The Chair shall call upon speakers in the order in which they signify their desire to speak. The Chair may call a speaker to order if the speaker's remarks are not relevant to the subject under discussion.
	3. Any speaker must address the Chair before taking the floor for any statements and interventions.	
11	RULE 8	RULE 8
	Agenda	Agenda
	1. The provisional agenda for a SWG Meeting shall be developed in consultation with the RS, Chair and Co-Chair of the SWG.	1. The provisional agenda for a SWG meeting shall be developed in consultation with the RS, Chair, Co-Chair, and the Parties of the SWG.



No.	The Draft Rules of Procedures	Comments / Proposed Revision	
	<ol> <li>The provisional agenda of a SWG Meeting shall include:         <ul> <li>Items of which have been requested by the CTI-CFF Parties Meeting at a previous session;</li> <li>Items proposed by any CTI-CFF Party at least thirty (30) days prior to the SWG Meeting;</li> <li>Matters requiring consideration, confirmation and approval;</li> <li>Requests for the inclusion of observers under Rule 5</li> <li>Proposals from CTI Partners as endorsed by the Regional Secretariat, Chairand Co-Chair; and</li> <li>Items which the RS, Chair and Co-chair deems it necessary to put before the SWG.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	<ol> <li>The provisional agenda of a SWG meeting shall include:         <ul> <li>Items of which have been requested by the Parties Meeting at a previous session;</li> <li>Items proposed by any Party at least thirty (30) days prior to the SWG Meeting;</li> <li>Matters requiring consideration, confirmation and approval;</li> <li>Requests for the inclusion of partners, observers, and resource persons;</li> <li>Proposals from Partners as endorsed by the RS, Chair and Co-Chair; and</li> <li>Items which the RS, Chair and Co-chair deem necessary to put before the SWG.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	
12	RULE 9 Quorum The Chair may declare a SWG Meeting open and permit the discussion and substantial work of the meetings to proceed when at least two-third of the Parties' representatives are present.	Integrated to Rule 7 point 1	
13	RULE 10 Decision Making 1. Asageneralrule, decision-making in the SWG shall be by consensus.	RULE 9 Decision Making 1. Asageneralrule, decision-making in the SWG shall be by consensus.	



No.	The Draft Rules of Procedures	Comments / Proposed Revision	
	2. Decisions of the SWG on matters of substance shall be taken by consensus. The question of whether a matter is one of substance shall be decided by the Chair in consultation with the RS and Co-Chair.	<ol> <li>Decisions of the SWG on matters of substance shall be taken by consensus.</li> <li>Decisions other than those of substance shall be taken by the</li> </ol>	
	3. Decisions other than those of substance shall be taken by the majority of the CTI-CFF Parties present and voting.	<ul><li>majority of the Parties present and voting.</li><li>4. Each Party shall have one (1) vote in the SWG.</li></ul>	
	4. Each CTI-CFF Party shall have one (1) vote in the SWG.	5. The SWG shall vote by show of hands or by secret ballot vote. The Chair may decide on the appropriate mode for voting. Any Party may	
	<ol> <li>The SWG shall vote by show of hands or by secret ballot vote. The Chair may decide on the appropriate mode for voting. Any CTI Party may also request a secret ballot vote.</li> </ol>	also request a secret ballot vote.	
14	RULE 11 Points of Order	Integrated to Rule 7 point 1	
	During the discussion of any matter, a member of the SWG may rise to a point of order, and the point of order shall be immediately decided by the Chair in accordance with these Rules of Procedure. A member of the SWG may appeal against the ruling of the Chair. In such a case, the appeal shall be immediately put to the vote, and the Chair's ruling shall stand unless overruled by a majority of the members of the SWG present and voting. A representative rising to a point of order may not speak on the substance of the matter under discussion.		
15	RULE 12 Procedural	Integrated to Rule 7 point 1	



No.	The Draft Rules of Procedures	Comments / Proposed Revision
	Motions	
	1. Subject to Rule 12, a representative of the SWG may at any	
	time make any of the following procedural motions. Such	
	motions shall have precedence in the following order over	
	all other proposals or motions before the meeting:	
	a. To suggest a new Agenda item	
	b. To suspend the meeting;	
	c. To adjourn the meeting;	
	d. To adjourn the debate on the item under discussion;	
	e. To close the debate on the item under discussion.	
	2. Any motion calling for a decision on the competence of the	
	SWG to adopt a proposal submitted to it shall be put to the	
	vote before a vote is taken on the proposal in question.	
16	RULE 13	Integrated to Rule 7 point 1
	Language	
	The official language of usage, written and verbal, of and by the SWG shall be English.	
17	RULE 14	RULE 10
	Amendments and Additional Provisions	Amendments and Additional Provisions
	1. These Rules of Procedure may be amended by the SWG.	<ol> <li>TheseRulesofProceduremaybeamendedbytheSWG when necessary.</li> </ol>



No.		The Draft Rules of Procedures	Comments / Proposed Revision
	2.	Additional provisions and amendments to these rules of procedures	
		${\sf shall}$ be officially submitted by a Party/Parties in writing to the Chair.	2. Additional provisions and amendments to these rules of procedures
			shall be officially submitted by a Party or Parties in writing to the
	3.	The Chair shall circulate the submissions to the Parties not later than	Chair, copy furnished the RS.
		sixty (60) days before the next SWG Meeting.	
			3. The Chair through the RS shall circulate the submissions to the Parties not
			later than thirty (30) days before the next SWG Meeting.
18		RULE 15	Integrated to Rule 7 point 1
		Dispute Resolution	
19		RULE 16	Delete this Rule
		Secretariat of Seascapes Working Group	